

Individual Intervention Plan Tier II

Student Identification Information	
Student Last Name: Presentation	Date of Initiation: 09/14/2012 Grade at Initiation: 3rd
First Name/Middle Name: Peggy, Paula	Current Grade: 3rd Current School Year: 12-13
Address: 1521 9th St. , Highland, IL 62249	Case Coordinator: Mrs. Murray
Gender: F Birthdate: 04/22/2004	Home School: Presentation Elementary School
Ethnicity: White	Resident District:
Home Phone: 618-654-6960	Work Phone: 888-437-9326
Parent/Guardian: Paul and Patty Presentation	
Address: 1521 9th St Highland, IL 62249	Language/Mode of Communication (Student): English
Other Parent/Guardian:	Language/Mode of Communication (Parent): English
Address: ,	

Problem Analysis/Notes:

WHY IS THERE A DIFFERENCE BETWEEN CURRENT AND BENCHMARK LEVELS?

Example: Peggy's inability to recognize sight words negatively affects her reading accuracy, which slows her reading speed and leads to decreased fluency. By increasing the percentage of accuracy by which Peggy recognizes sight words, her fluency will improve.

INCLUDE ANY OTHER ADDITIONAL NOTES HERE.

Goal:

WAYS TO SET A GOAL:

- 1) *Standards Based Goal- indicates that a student who meets the expectation is likely to meet standards (either grade level or state test)*
- 2) *Norm-Referenced Goal- indicates that a student who meets the expectation is likely to be successful working within a group with typical students*
- 3) *Growth-Referenced Goal- indicates that a student who meets the expectation is making progress relative to their own level of achievement, even though it may be still be substantially below either normative information or standards.*

Peggy's Progress

vs.

Expected Benchmark Progress

*Student Beginning Score: 20 wpm
Student Goal Score: 115 wpm by end of year
of weeks to reach goal: 32*

*Benchmark Beginning Score: 35 wpm
Benchmark End Score: 115 wpm by end of year*

*Goal score- student score= an increase of 95 wpm
95/# of weeks= Progress of 2.968 wpm/week*

*End Benchmark-beginning benchmark=80 wpm
80/# of weeks=Progress of 2.5 wpm/week*

Given these conditions, Student's goal is to read X number of words by Y time with Z accuracy.

Example: Given a reading selection at the 3rd grade reading level, Peggy will read 115 words per minute with 90% accuracy by the end of 3rd grade.

Accommodations:

LIST ANY MATERIALS OR CLASSROOM MODIFICATIONS THAT WILL ALLOW STUDENT TO COMPLETE WORK WITH GREATER EASE AND SUCCESS

Example: Peggy may use 10 minutes of extra time in reading to complete written work and may excuse herself to the reading room where she may read aloud and complete work with the help of her "common words" book

Focus: Fluency, Vocabulary

Intervention(s)	Interventionist	Monitoring Tool	Start Date	End Date
1) Read Naturally	Classroom Teacher	DIBELS	09/17/12	11/02/12
Grouping: 7/1	Frequency: 5 days per week	Time: 30	Frequency: Weekly	
			Monitor: Classroom Teacher	

10/05/2012 RTI Progress Monitoring Meeting

Progress Monitoring Tool	Grade	Date Administered	Rate of Improvement:		
			Benchmark	Goal	Student
DIBELS	3rd	09/21/2012	35	20	20
DIBELS	3rd	09/28/2012	37.5	23	24
DIBELS	3rd	10/05/2012	40	26	27

Decision: **Continue Plan**
Notes: **Peggy is making progress and will continue using Read Naturally.**
Participants: **List participants here....**

11/09/2012 RTI Progress Monitoring Meeting

Progress Monitoring Tool	Grade	Date Administered	Rate of Improvement:		
			Benchmark	Goal	Student
DIBELS	3rd	10/12/2012	42.5	29	28
DIBELS	None	10/19/2012	45	32	30
DIBELS	None	10/26/2012	47.5	35	33
DIBELS	None	11/02/2012	50	38	36

Decision: **Change Intervention**
Notes: **Decision is made based on 3-5 data points (progress monitoring scores) are consistently below the goal score.**
Participants: **List participants here...**

Focus: Fluency, Vocabulary

Intervention(s)	Interventionist	Monitoring Tool	Start Date	End Date
1) Reading A-Z	Classroom Teacher	DIBELS	11/05/12	12/19/12
Grouping: 8/1	Frequency: 5 days per week	Time: 30	Frequency: Weekly	
			Monitor: Classroom Teacher	

12/19/2012 RTI Progress Monitoring Meeting

Progress Monitoring Tool	Grade	Date Administered	Rate of Improvement:		
			Benchmark	Goal	Student
DIBELS	None	11/09/2012	52.5	41	38
DIBELS	None	11/16/2012	55	44	42

DIBELS	None	11/30/2012	57.5	47	46
DIBELS	None	12/07/2012	60	50	49
DIBELS	None	12/14/2012	62.5	53	52

Decision

Notes

Participants

Move to Tier III